

11.13.2007

WILLIAM C. MELCHER
TRILLIUM TOWERS CENTER
6320 CANOGA AVENUE, SUITE 1500
WOODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA 91367
TELEPHONE (818) 596-2307
FACSIMILE (818) 876-0206

Dear William,

1. I have received your letter dated November 8, 2007. Your letter is construed as nothing more than an attempt to CYA. As a competent attorney would know, you as the representative of a case involving an indigent and possibly incompetent appellant can, could, and should always go to the courthouse and retrieve the entire case file from the clerk. You failed to do this. Such action requires nothing on my part and everything on yours. Trying to blame me for your inaction to take any of the steps above, for almost three months AND STILL CONTINUING, related to reviewing the case is nothing more than misdirection and deflection and such argument is both specious and invalid.
2. You tell me to “pay attention” to the General Information Judgment and Post-Judgment Procedures and to “particularly note the sections entitled Petition for Panel Rehearing and Petition for Rehearing en banc.” I would suggest you “pay attention” and read section (2) [Deadline For Filing] on account of the fact that *your letter is several weeks past the deadline*. Surely you don’t expect me to believe you are such a powerful legal wizard that you have the ability to roll back time. You are again too little and too late. Moreover, had you even taken the time to read the case docket filed in the Ninth Circuit, you would have seen that a Petition for Panel Rehearing was in fact filed by me, within the deadline, due to your inactions. However the Petition for Panel Rehearing was “received but not filed.” The excuse given by the court that it was “received but not filed” was “because you are ‘represented’ by counsel.”
3. Aside from the fact that my Petition For Rehearing was received, but not filed, due to your inaction, and aside that you filed nothing, the Ninth Circuit panel pulled a metaphysical flimflam out of their legal bag of tricks and decided to rule on it, and denied it on November 7th, 2007. How a court can rule on anything *not filed* is a separate issue for another day. They also ruled that you would continue to “force” yourself on me in this case.
<http://www.youtube.com/libertyjustice4all>

4. Had you even taken a moment to read the docket you would have also seen that I filed an objection to appointment of conflicted counsel from the federal public defenders office and a Motion to relieve counsel for conflict and incompetence, and a Motion to represent self under the duress: facts: declaration, served on 10/07/07, a full four days before the court quickly ruled on the OPINION on 10/11/07. Of course by not reading even the docket in this case you can claim ignorance after the fact that you did not know I wanted nothing to do with you since the Federal Public Defender's Office appointed you, aside from the fact I told you that, just days before I filed the above seeking to exercise my Faretta right, as I have since 2005.
5. The fact that the very office that appointed you [the Federal Public Defender's Office] recused "their entire district" from my case, and I specifically moved the Ninth Circuit ***NOT*** to appoint anyone from the Federal Public Defender's Office, as far back as 2005, (see attachment) and despite the fact that my Circuit panel specifically ignored my Faretta motion in 2005, (see attachment) and proceeded to specifically instruct the FPD to appoint Mr. Park, and now you, all from that office, obviously you think you are under no obligation to even lift a finger to read even the docket, *unless I first assist you*. You are mistaken. Your time to act has long since past.
6. Your failure to even contact me after your appointment, coupled with your inaction to date to even read the docket, and that the Ninth has now "forced" you on my case, has only reassured me that you are indeed incompetent and conflicted, so please explain how you could expect me to have any "*mutual trust, respect and confidence*" in your appointment or representation.
7. Both of your predecessors lied to me about their appointment from the FPD by claiming they were "appointed from the Ninth Circuit," I trust you will not attempt the same fraud simply to make a buck off my case as they both did.
8. Had you taken the time to read even the docket of this case you claim to represent, you would have noted and seen that the Ninth Circuit recently denied my motion to relieve you, and, much like the district court, "forced" you on to my case, to inflict as much damage as possible before you go skipping off into the sunset to your next confliction.
9. You were made aware the first time we spoke that I wanted nothing to do with you since you are specifically appointed *from an office of the government which "recused their entire district" from any representation in my case*, when I talked to you on the phone the first week of October 2007, aside from the fact that I had to locate you three months after your appointment, yet you have sat on that fact and your inaction to relieve yourself due to this conflict has now caused me irreparable harm. I am sure the Federal Public Defender's Office, and more importantly, the Federal Attorney Debra Yang will be happy to hear that.

I trust you will stop forcing yourself on me as soon as possible and notify the court that the conflict and my Faretta motion still stand.

With the disrespect,

Steven