

Steven Sutcliffe

From: steven.sutcliffe [steven.sutcliffe@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2006 2:35 PM
To: Sung B. Park
Subject: RE: IMPORTANT: jurisdiction

SHOW ME WHERE YOU BELIEVE THE GOVERNMENT PROVED I TRANSMITTED ANY PAGE ESTABLISHED THROUGH A NETWORK LOG FILE.

IN ALL THE CASES CITED THE ACCUSED ADMITTED TRANSMITTING. THE GOVERNMENT WAS NEVER PUT TO THE CRUCIBLE OF PROVING IT.

I DON'T ADMIT TRANSMITTING.

Steven Sutcliffe

From: steven.sutcliffe [steven.sutcliffe@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 21, 2006 12:55 PM
To: Sung Park
Subject: network log files = jurisdiction

network log files = jurisdiction

"Clearly, the FBI needs engineering personnel to develop and deploy sophisticated electronic surveillance capabilities in an increasingly complex and technical investigative environment, skilled CART personnel to conduct the computer forensics examinations to support an increasingly diverse set of cases involving computers, as well as expert NIPC personnel to examine **network log files to track the path an intruder took** to his victim. In cases such as Los Alamos or Columbine, both NIPC and CART personnel were called in to bring their unique areas of expertise to bear on the case." See, Statement for the Record of Louis J. Freeh, Director Federal Bureau of Investigation on Cybercrime Before the Senate Committee on Appropriations Subcommittee for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Washington, D.C. February 16, 2000

CALL ME IF YOU STILL DON'T AGREE WITH THIS PREMISE.