

June 22, 2010

André Birotte, Jr, USA  
1200 U.S. Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Fax: (213) 894-0141

**SENT VIA CERTIFIED US MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED  
AND FACSIMILE**

RE: Legal Service FTCA Claim of BJ Davis and Julia Davis

Chief Counsel:

Enclosed are claims for damages filed on behalf of BJ Davis and Julia Davis pursuant to the Federal Tort Claim Act.

Sincerely,

Julia Davis

BJ Davis

cc: ACLU  
A&E

**FEDERAL TORT CLAIM FOR DAMAGES AND INJURIES SUSTAINED BY  
BJ DAVIS AND JULIA DAVIS**

**FEDERAL AGENCY:**

Department of Justice – Verified Complaint against A. Howard Matz, R. Gary Klausner and Alex Kozinski in their official capacities.

**NAME AND ADDRESS OF CLAIMANT AND CLAIMANT'S PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE:**

Claimant 1:

BJ Davis  
Pro Se  
11271 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 733  
Studio City, CA 91604  
(310) 752-3501  
ReelMovies@aol.com

**DATE OF BIRTH:**

August 14, 1952

Claimant 2:

Julia Davis  
Pro Se  
11271 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 733  
Studio City, CA 91604  
(310) 752-3501  
ReelMovies@aol.com

**DATE OF BIRTH:**

May 16, 1972

**MARITAL STATUS:**

Divorced

**DATE OF ACCRUAL OF CLAIM:**

September 24, 2009

**BASIS OF CLAIM:**

Claimants are informed and upon such information allege that Defendants, A. Howard Matz, R. Gary Klausner and Alex Kozinski (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants") engaged in a series of unconstitutional, unlawful and retaliatory tactics against Claimants, family and third party financial beneficiaries in violation of U.S.C. Statute 42 (1983), Bivens violations, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA pursuant to Title 28 U.S. Code § 1331, 28 U.S.C. 1346(b)(1), 28 U.S.C. 1367, 28 U.S.C. 1651 and 28 U.S.C. 2680(h) in claims arising from violations of federal constitutional rights guaranteed in the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Tenth, and Fourteenth constitutional amendments to the U.S. Constitution and redressable pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Narcotics Agents 403 U.S. 388 (1971)."

There is validated evidence of criminal acts perpetrated against Claimants by members of the Bonanno crime family. Defendants waged baseless warfare at taxpayer's expense against Claimants under the color of law to deny Claimants due process, fair and equal protection under the law while maintaining unlawful and unconstitutional jurisdiction where the Canonized Code of Judicial Conduct demands their recusal and thereby violates federal law, constitutional provisions and judicial standards.

A. Howard Matz was a defendant in RICO and active Bivens actions prior to sitting over the case involving Claimant BJ Davis. Matz was also served on September 23, 2009 with a formal Senate Judiciary Complaint filed on August 25, 2009 by Claimant BJ Davis against A. Howard Matz. Matz refused to recuse himself on September 24, 2009 and illegally found Claimant BJ Davis in civil contempt for refusing to allow his company, Beverly Hills Film Studios to be extorted from him by the Bonanno crime family to impact Claimants income earning ability, due process rights, First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Tenth and Eleventh constitutional amendment rights, to include but not limited to Statute 42 violations, Bivens Act, Bivens v. Six Unknown Narcotics Agents 403 U.S. 388 (1971)."

Defendant Matz caused Claimant BJ Davis to be unlawfully detained and unjustly incarcerated, in a direct violation of his constitutional rights and freedoms. In light of his conflict of interest, Defendant Matz should have recused himself from the proceedings, as Claimant BJ Davis formally requested numerous times.

"Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." Liteky v. U.S., 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994). It is mathematically impossible to happen and more importantly, unconstitutional. JUDICIAL CANON 3 - Disqualification (1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances in which: (a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding; (b) the judge served as lawyer in the matter in controversy, or a lawyer with whom the judge previously practiced law served during such association as a lawyer concerning the matter, or the judge or such lawyer has been a material witness.

A. Howard Matz, a friend and colleague of former Customs Commissioner Robert Bonner (Bonner addressed Matz's confirmation as a judge) was party to retaliatory and acts of reprisal that included two failed and contrived prosecutions of Claimants. Bonner was ordered to be deposed in Julia Davis EEOC proceedings where she prevailed. Matz presided over the dismissed malicious prosecution against Claimants, designed to discredit former federal officer Julia Davis, a National Security Whistleblower, Case No. CR-05-757 AHM. Federal prosecutor, U.S. Attorney John Lee admitted that "*These cases [criminal charges against BJ and Julia Davis] should have never been prosecuted.*"

CA. Superior Judge Bryan Foster issued a finding of factual innocence, ordering that arrest records be sealed and destroyed. Additionally, Judge Foster ordered the government to return the proceeds of both warrantless searches in the second of two failed and contrived prosecutions against Claimants.

Concurrent with presiding over the malicious prosecution of Claimants in a criminal case, Matz took jurisdiction over a civil case pertaining to the feature film, "Forget About It" that was released on November 3, 2005 at the American Film Market in Santa Monica, CA, case no. CV-06-3800 - AHM. Matz allowed an illegally re-edited version of the picture to be transported across state lines in the furtherance of organized crime activities. Defendant Matz failed to provide any legal recourse to Claimant BJ Davis as to the illegal re-registration of the copyright to the film by the Bonanno crime family members and their associates with the Library of Congress in 2007 and has full knowledge and participation in criminal activities. Defendant Matz allowed these criminal elements to make filings in federal court, utilizing false aliases, falsified dates of birth, perjured declarations and falsified documents. These actions were condoned by Defendant Matz, with his full knowledge of the fraud perpetrated upon the court (as proven by Claimant BJ Davis in his numerous filings). On the record, Defendant Matz ruled that using

false identities in court filings was acceptable and discounted extensive evidence provided by Claimant BJ Davis as unimportant.

Copyright owners may not sue for infringement unless they have first registered the copyright (see 17 U.S.C. §§ 411, 412 and after-the-fact, Matz under the color of law actually gave the copyright title to Bonanno strawmen and Paloma aka Saquella's attorney Michael A. Gardiner. With full knowledge and intent A. Howard Matz violated the law and his oath of office.

17 U.S.C.A. § 506[a]). However, the law requires that the prosecution demonstrate that the infringement was willful and that it was for the purpose of "commercial advantage or private financial gain." Mass **piracy** of sound or motion picture recordings without permission of the copyright owner is a separate criminal offense, punishable by a fine of up to \$250,000 and five years in prison under the Piracy and **Counterfeiting** Amendments Act of 1982 (see 18 U.S.C. § 2318). These actions were orchestrated in concert with RICO violations.

Judges lose their immunity from being sued when they violate the law as prescribed. Whenever a judge acts where he/she does not have jurisdiction to act, the judge is engaged in an act or acts of treason. U.S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d 392, 406 (1980); Cohens v. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5 L.Ed 257 (1821).

If a judge does not fully comply with the Constitution, then his orders are void, In re Sawyer, 124 U.S. 200 (1888), he/she is without jurisdiction, and he/she has engaged in an act or acts of treason.

A. Howard Matz stated on the record, while the criminal case was still active on the docket for the Central Federal District of California, Case No. CV-06-3800 AHM and CV - 07 - 2282 AHM (It should be noted that Matz took jurisdiction in this case away from another judge after she had made rulings where Salvatore Bill Bonnano of the Bonanno crime family was a co-defendant). Matz opined there was no Mafia involvement, contrary to the facts, while having read an affidavit from Michael Proctor with the law firm of Caldwell, Leslie & Petit addressing Claimants protected reports to the FBI and SEC.

Matz solicited the assistance of his colleague R. Gary Klausner to find Claimant vexatious after a Judicial Committee complaint was filed against Matz on October 24, 2007 in case No. L-89141. This effort was under the guise of legal proceedings when clearly it is nothing more than a veiled and cowardly means to silence Claimants First Amendment rights, freedom of speech and due process.

There have been 9 convictions as a result of Claimants' reports to the FBI and the SEC. United States America v. Michael R. Saquella from the Eastern Federal District Court of Virginia Judge Leonie M. Brinkema presiding, Case No.1:07CR305-001 and his guilty pleas (Title 18, United States Code Section 371) to commit securities fraud and in violation of (Title 15, U.S.C. sections 78j(b) and 78ff and 17 C.F.R. Section 240.10-b(5)) and (2) conspiracy to commit mail fraud, Title 18 U.S.C. section 1037(a)(3) and (b)(1)(A) sentencing Saquella to ten (10) years in federal prison for pillaging Davis company of Beverly Hills Film Studios, Inc., with 24,000 victims and over \$20 million dollars defrauded as reflected in the Department of Justice Press Release dated March 14, 2008, Asst. US Attorney Patrick Stokes representing the United States.

A. Howard Matz simultaneously presided over the criminal and civil proceedings involving Claimants and issued gag orders against Claimants, violating their constitutional rights. (It should be noted that A. Howard Matz's son serves as an Asst. US Attorney and therefore establishes a clear conflict of interest in the legal process and further diminishes due process and fair and equal protection under the law for claimant and other litigants).

Defendant Klausner also had a legal obligation to recuse himself from the proceedings, but instead issued the order on December 10, 2007 to protect his colleague (Defendant Matz) under the color of law while knowing there was a conflict of interest. Klausner should have recused himself as his son works at the law firm of Girardi and Keese, which represented Claimants in Julia Davis and BJ Davis v. USA, CBP, Kaufer & Deal, ED CV 07-0481-SGL and Mykola Kot and Galyna Kovalska. USA, CBP, Kaufer, Deal ED CV-07-01573 SGL.

Klausner knew or should have known that Matz presided over two failed, contrived selective and malicious prosecutions and that Matz presided over a criminal case concurrently overlapping two civil cases (Case No. CV-06-3800 AHM and Case No. CV06-3800 AHM and Falco, et al. v. Bonanno, et al, Case No. CV 07- 2282 AHM).

It should be noted that the government elected to settle these cases instead of a public trial in Julia Davis and BJ Davis v. USA, CBP, Kaufer & Deal, ED CV 07-0481-SGL and Mykola Kot and Galyna Kovalska. USA, CBP, Kaufer, Deal ED CV-07-01573 SGL.

Actions by the defendants directly associated with A. Howard Matz and Robert Bonner in the above-mentioned proceedings clearly demonstrate acts of retaliation and acts of reprisal against Claimants and family members. Perhaps the most damning commentary was issued in the court order by federal Judge Virginia Phillips, who ruled as follows on January 28, 2010: *“On August 10, 2005, ten DHS Internal Affairs Agents, a United States Marshal, seventeen SRT members, eight unmarked cars and a Blackhawk helicopter arrived at the Davises’ residence in Yucca Valley to execute warrants for the Davises’ arrests... Plaintiffs [BJ and Julia Davis] have produced significant evidence about the August 2005 raid and search of their residence... This evidence is sufficient to create a factual question as to whether federal agents committed an abuse of process when they conducted that search pursuant to the arrest warrant... In light of the substantial evidence presented by Plaintiffs as to the magnitude of the search, and the Government’s failure to identify undisputed facts in support of a contrary finding, the Court holds that a reasonable fact finder could find the extreme nature of the search and raid of the Davises’ Yucca Valley home was “not proper in the regular conduct of the proceedings.” Throughout their opposition, Plaintiffs have identified evidence that suggests **the degree of force used in the search was severe**, particularly in light of the nonviolent nature of the charges against the Davises.*

*The reports of the Agents involved suggest no attempt to arrest the Davises in a more peaceable manner was ever even considered. According to Julia Davis’s parents, in the course of the search, **Agent Deal told them that Mrs. Davis was a “domestic terrorist,”** a statement without any support... At some point on the day of the raid, someone scrawled the word “Boo!” and crossed out the date on Julia Davis’s calendar, located in her home... As discussed above, several witnesses have testified that **documents and other items were seized from the home, though no receipt was given.** The search of the home continued long after it was determined the persons named in the arrest warrant were not present. Together, this evidence creates a question of material fact as to whether the manner in which the arrest warrants were executed was proper.*

*There is also sufficient evidence for a reasonable fact finder to conclude the **OPR Agents had an ulterior, improper motive: retaliation for Julia Davis’s successful EEOC complaint...** In addition to **the unusual degree of force used in executing the arrests**, the arrest warrants were executed two months after the EEOC ALJ issued his initial decision in Julia Davis’s sexual harassment case, which was in her favor and highly critical of CBP, ICE, and their internal investigators. Agents*

*Deal, Kaufer, and Wong had all been involved in Julia Davis's EEOC and MSPB proceedings. One week after the search, CBP moved to hold the ALJ's decision in abeyance based on the federal criminal charges. **The sum total of these facts is sufficient to allow a fact finder to infer a retaliatory or malicious motive in the execution of the search...** Plaintiffs have therefore produced sufficient evidence to allow a reasonable fact finder to conclude **the August 2005 search was an abuse of process.**"*

A. Howard Matz while presiding over the criminal matter issued a gag order to keep the illegal and unconstitutional events silent with the waste of taxpayer's dollars and to diminish the abusive use of government resources and helicopters to attack Claimants and their family members, which led to the untimely death of Claimant Julia Davis' father, Mykola Kot. Outrageously, Katrina victims were drowning due to the lack of rescue helicopters during that same time frame of a national disaster, while helicopter and aircraft surveillance and a raid of Claimants' residence was ordered by former co-defendants Herbert Kaufer and Jeffrey Deal, working for former Commissioner of the Department of Homeland Security's Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Robert Bonner.

Claimants reported financial crimes perpetrated against them with their film and television company Beverly Hills Film Studios, Inc. by members of the Bonanno crime family. Based on reports to the FBI, SEC and IRS, 9 individuals have been convicted and are serving time in federal prison. It is apparent that the Defendants met with, collaborated with third party criminal elements to conspire and to make perjured, material, false misrepresentations under the color of law with Immigration and Customs Enforcement, federal employee Herbert P. Kaufer and Customs and Border Protection employee Jeffrey J. Deal to retaliate against Julia and BJ Davis, in violation of federal laws and constitutional provisions as linked to Robert Bonner, A. Howard Matz, R. Gary Klausner and Alex Kozinski.

Julia Davis is a former federal officer and National Security Whistleblower that revealed national security breaches on July 4, 2004 and the wrath and awesome powers of the United States were unleashed to destroy and discredit Claimants in retaliation for these valid and alarming whistleblowing disclosure.

In another court order, Judge Daniel Leach ruled that Julia's resignation was "*involuntary*" and was caused by the Department of Homeland Security's "*illegal conduct*", "*unnecessary harassment*" and "*impermissible discrimination*" against her.

CA Superior Court Judge Margaret Woods issued a restraining order to protect Julia Davis against unlawful harassment and stalking by DHS personnel.

Former Department of Homeland Security, Acting Inspector General Elizabeth Redman ordered an investigation that affirmed allegations in Julia Davis report to the OIG. The DHS hid the report until ordered to release it in a legal proceeding. To date, no one was disciplined, in spite of court orders that the perpetrators be held accountable.

As a direct result of these actions, the Department of Homeland Security, referred to herein as “DHS”, and Customs and Border Protection, referred to herein as “CBP”, federal employees Kaufer and Deal, both former co-defendants in litigation ED CV 07-0481-SGL and ED CV-07-01573 SGL have conspired with the IRS and its agents to financially attack the Claimants after two selective and malicious prosecutions against them have failed. On the record, Defendant Matz referred to his friend, Chief Judge Kozoniski, stating that Claimant BJ Davis would incur his wrath for any attempts to recuse Matz from legal proceedings. Matz and Kozonski share many community and religious ties and socialize off the bench. These inappropriate relationships had a negative impact and served to deny Claimants’ legal and constitutional rights.

Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Chief Judge Alex Kozinski intervened in the Judicial Misconduct Complaints by Claimants and other parties to deny and vacate the economic advantage of revenues received in the film that include Academy Award nominee Burt Reynolds, Raquel Welch, Charles Durning and others. This obstruction of justice and violation of federal rules would result in a loss of judicial immunity by Defendants in this matter.

The Defendants were acutely aware of these actions and findings but elected to proceed with their contrived contribution to these retaliatory, unlawful and unconstitutional acts perpetrated against the Claimants contrary to federal law. Concurrently, all legal, financial protection and due process of law was denied to Claimants. Matz, Klausner, Bonner and Kozinski have allowed the IRS to tax Claimants for monies that have been extorted and stolen from Claimants and their company by the group of organized crime members and their affiliates. Beverly Hills Film Studios, a BJ Davis company, is one of 15 companies that have been pillaged by the same criminal elements.

Beverly Hills Film Studios continues to be exploited by penny stock fraudsters led by Michael Ralph Saquella aka Paloma (convicted for related criminal activities) and his affiliates, Kimberly Dawn Lebel aka Lebel aka Kates, her boyfriend Michael Manasseri, David Zappone and Bonanno and Saquella former attorney Michael A. Gardiner. These members of organized crime and their affiliates have

caused fraudulent filings with the court to falsely report stolen monies as “income” received by claimants while knowing that Claimants have repeatedly reported the theft, exploitation, check kiting, stock fraud and extortion to federal authorities.

There have been 9 convictions as a result of Claimants’ reports to the FBI and SEC. United States America v. Michael R. Saquella from the Eastern Federal District Court of Virginia Judge Leonie M. Brinkema presiding, Case No.1:07CR305-001 and his guilty pleas (Title 18, United States Code Section 371) to commit securities fraud and in violation of (Title 15, U.S.C. sections 78j(b) and 78ff and 17 C.F.R. Section 240.10-b(5)) and (2) conspiracy to commit mail fraud, Title 18 U.S.C. section 1037(a)(3) and (b)(1)(A) sentencing Saquella to ten (10) years in federal prison for pillaging Davis company of Beverly Hills Film Studios, Inc., with 24,000 victims and over \$20 million dollars defrauded as reflected in the Department of Justice Press Release dated March 14, 2008, Asst. US Attorney Patrick Stokes representing the United States.

Matz, Klausner, Kozinski acting as agents in association with Robert Bonner did illegally and unlawfully place unlawful judgments and rulings against Claimants and caused Claimant BJ Davis to be unlawfully detained and incarcerated. Defendants did intervene and stop due process for Claimants in other legal jurisdictions, based on fraud and material misrepresentations to cause Claimants economic hardships and disadvantage, loss of residence, while attempting to recover from the hostile and unconstitutional attacks by the entities and individuals who plotted with the parties to attack the Claimants is well documented in captured in audio and video recordings, to include but not limited to court documents, transcripts and affidavits.

### **NATURE AND EXTENT OF INJURY WHICH FORMS THE BASIS OF THE CLAIM:**

The wrongful conduct described above caused the Claimants to suffer physical and emotional injuries. The wrongful conduct above has and will continue to cause the Claimants to sustain emotional distress, loss of sleep, financial ruin, loss of their residence, ruined credit worthiness, defamed and slandered them personally and professionally, to include but not limited to the loss of work, right to work, current and future income-earning ability.

### **WITNESSES:**

BJ Davis

Claimant

Julia Davis	Claimant
Angie Ortanez	Federal Employee
Herbert P. Kaufer	Federal Employee
Jeffrey J. Deal	Federal Employee
Robert Broyles	Federal Employee
James Wong	Federal Employee
Susan Boutwell	Federal Employee
Robert Bonner	Federal Employee
A. Howard Matz	Federal Employee
Stephen Montes	Federal Employee
Cathy Catterson	Federal Employee
Terry Nifisi	Federal Employee
Chris Sawyer	Federal Employee
Stephen G. Larsen	Former Federal Employee
Robert Skinner	Federal Employee
Marcus Custer	Federal Employee
Gordon Slavik	Former Federal Employee
Deborah Wong Yang	Former Federal Employee
Karen Hewitt	Federal Employee
Lourdes Baird	Former Federal Employee
John Lee	Federal Employee
Steve Cazares	Federal Employee
Peter Lantka	Federal Employee
Timothy Stutler	Federal Employee
Ronald Danielson	Federal Employee

Patrick Stokes	Federal Employee
David Herman	Federal Employee
Michael Juliano	Federal Employee
David J. Van Haver matt	Federal Employee
Marc Blau	Federal Employee
Sherri MacAllister	Federal Employee
John Quinn	Federal Employee
Ray Piteski	Federal Employee
Arnie Medrano	Federal Employee
Mark Hunter	Federal Employee
Brenda Dillard	Federal Employee
Terry Goddard	AZ Attorney General
Joe Carson	Nevada Secretary of State
Rick Young	San Bernardino Asst. DA
Theresa Kristovich	Federal Employee Deal's attorney
Steven Rothans	Federal Employee Kaufer's attorney
Stephen Webb	San Diego Police Officer
Lt. Caroline Kendericks	San Diego Police officer
Nate Williams	Los Angeles Police Officer
Keith Williams	Los Angeles Police Officer
Brian Bagnolli	Los Angeles Police Officer
Sherri Heathcock	Los Angeles Police Officer
Leonard Rios	Retired Federal Employee
Merry Graham	Federal Employee
Michael Proctor	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis

David Zaft	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
Andy Esbenshade	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
David Ross	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
David Casselman	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
Cathy Garcia	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
Tom Girardi	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
Chance Gordon	Attorney of Julia Davis
Peter Szabadi	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
Tom Nitti	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
David Ross	Attorney of Julia and BJ Davis
Bank of America	Claimants loan company
Wells Fargo	Claimants bank
Kimberly Dawn Lebel aka Kates	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
David Zappone	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Melissa Welch-Blue	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
David Stocker	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Brion Kos	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Misty Reynolds	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Phil Young	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Stephen Eckleberry	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Rosslyn Hornsby	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Michael Manasseri	Bonanno stock fraud affiliate
Colonial Stock Transfer	Bonanno stock distributor
First American Stock Transfer	Bonanno stock distributor
Michael Gardiner	Attorney for Salvatore Bill Bonanno

Nicholas Browning, III	Attorney for Bonanno group
Michael Saquella aka Paloma	Convicted Bonanno Lieutenant
Anthony Tarantola	Convicted Bonanno nephew
David Jackson	Showcase Entertainment
Ron Berg	Attorney for Showcase Entertainment
Jonathan Wolf	American Film Market Director
Allumination	Alleged Distributor
Steve Wergo	Cameraman
Kenneth DeIVecchio	New Jersey 2005 Film Festival
John D. Schofield	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Anthony Falco	Hoboken New Jersey Chief of Police Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Carmen LeBruno	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Eva Choina	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Craig Campobasso	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Burt Reynolds	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Jack Gilardi	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Al Sapienza	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Raquel Welch	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Charles Durning	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Robert Loggia	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary
Richard Grieco	Witness/Third Party Beneficiary

**AMOUNT OF CLAIM:**

**Property Damage**

\$ 20 Million Dollars

**Personal Injury**

\$ 20 Million Dollars

**Total:**

Dated: June 22, 2010

BJ Davis  
Pro Se

Julia Davis  
Pro Se